

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

O O O O O O O O O O	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 366 \	
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 366 \ \$9 \ Participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ERIC GIBSON (3) (2) EI; Zborovsky (28, 563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Papplicant's representative).	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ERIC GIBSON (3) (2) EI: Zborovsky (28,563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to papplicant Rapplicant's representative).	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) ERIC GIESON (3) (2) EII Zborovsky (2%, 563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Papplicant's representative).	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	·
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1)	
(1) ERIC GIBSON (3) (2) EI: Zborovsky (28,563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Rapplicant's representative).	
(2) E Z borovsky (28, 563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Rapplicant's representative).	
(2) E Z borovsky (28, 563) (4) Date of Interview 10/23/03 Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Rapplicant's representative).	
Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant Applicant's representative).	
	-
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	•
Agreement was reached. was not reached.	
Ciain(s) discussed.	
Identification of prior art discussed: Ta kanama	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	iar
art and amendment to the claims to distinguish between disp	pleying
the next decision point and displaying the final destination	. 0
The Examiner assed the distinction would were un the referen	
of record. It is also noted that a lepty was filed 9/3/03 and has not b	ben received
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must attached.)	ماد
and one of the state of the sta	be
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.	be
	be I
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	be I